



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION'NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/282,860	03/31/1999	JONATHAN P. BREZIN	YO999-121	9207
75	90 06/03/2002			
IBM CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT PO BOX 218			FLEURANTIN, JEAN B	
YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	09/282,860		BREZIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Jean B Fleu		2172				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>CPA 05/13/02</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	his action is n	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,11,23,24,26-31,33 and 37-41</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10,12-22,25,32 and 34-36</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	!		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 1-41 are remained for examination.
- 2. Applicant's arguments submitted on 12/18/2001 with respect to claims 1 and 40 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Applicant' remarks

3. As per claims 1 and 40, on page 4, Applicant asserted that the Paul patent teachings do not teach or suggest the concept of "relationship information from multiple heterogeneous information sources". However, Examiner disagrees because Paul includes the steps of the filter application compares the subject data of the received e-mail message with subject preference data entered by the user, notably the subject data from the received message may include 'subject' header information the full text of the e-mail message or both a text search may be performed to determine whether the subject data from the received e-mail contains any of the subject words or phrases entered by the user as preference data if a match is detected the e-mail message is marked with a third display code and displayed to the user in a third distinctive mode using known display techniques these e-mail messages may for example be automatically placed in a special folder created by the user or the filtering application or displayed in a distinctive color; which is readable as relationship information from multiple heterogeneous information sources (see col. 7, lines 19-36); also, in column 1, lines 52 through 60, Paul further teaches the steps of a system and method

Art Unit: 2172

for controlling the delivery of unsolicited electronic mail messages over an electronic communications network such as the Internet by identifying the source of identified spam transmissions using spam probes, and automatically alerting network servers and/or user terminals to sources of spam in order to activate an effective filter.

On page 4, Applicant asserted that the cited teachings of the Paul patent do not or suggest 'relation information nor do they teach or suggest steps of extracting and integrating relationship information'. However, Examiner disagrees because Paul includes the steps of the alert signals received from the control center are automatically processed by the filtering application so that the source data extracted from the alert signals are automatically added to the stored exclusion list, which is readable as automatically extracting and integrating relationship information from multiple heterogeneous information sources (see col. 6, lines 17-21);

On page 5, Applicant asserted that the asserted that 'it cannot be concluded that the automatic building and storing of relationship data structure from relationship information is automatically extracted and integrated'. However, Examiner disagrees because Paul includes the steps of a system and method for controlling the delivery of unsolicited electronic mail messages over an electronic communications network such as the internet by identifying the source of identified spam transmissions using spam probes, and automatically alerting network servers and/or user terminals to sources of spam in order to activate an effective filter or spam wall program implemented at network servers or user terminals or both; which is readable as automatically building and storing a relationship data structure to represent the relationship

Art Unit: 2172

information (see col. 1, lines 52-60). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Paul with the step of automatically building and storing a relationship data structure to represent the relationship information. This modification would allow the teachings of Paul to improve the accuracy and the reliability of the optimization of system performance based on communication relationship.

In response to applicant's argument on pages 6-7, that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Examiner is entitled to give claim limitations their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification.

Interpretation of Claims-Broadest Reasonable Interpretation

During patent examination, the pending claims must be 'given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification.' Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecussion and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 162 USPQ 541,550-51 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 2172

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 11, 23-24, 26-31, 33 and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S. C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Paul (US Pat. No. 6,052,709) ("Paul").

As per claims 1 and 40, Paul substantially teaches a method to optimize information retrieval based on communication relationships (thus, alert signal generator 105 preferably generates an alert signal incorporating the source data extracted from the received e-mail message as well as other data analyzed by processor 104 the alert signal may also incorporate filtering instructions to be implemented by the user terminals or network servers, which is readable as optimize information retrieval based on communication relationships) (see col. 5, lines 21-27), as claimed, comprises the steps of automatically extracting and integrating relationship information from multiple heterogeneous information sources (thus, alert signals received from the control center are automatically processed by the filtering application so that the source data extracted from the alert signals are automatically added to the stored exclusion list, which is readable as automatically extracting and integrating relationship information from multiple heterogeneous information sources (see col. 6, lines 17-21);

Art Unit: 2172

automatically modifying a query based on the relationship data structure (thus, a user terminal filtering application 200 for use in the present invention includes an exclusion list manager 202 for creating, storing and automatically maintaining a user exclusion list the user exclusion lists preferably includes all identification data needed to determine the status of incoming e-mail messages data in the exclusion list may be divided into categories corresponding to the fields of incoming e-mail messages; which is readable as which is readable as automatically modifying a query based on the relationship data structure) (see cols. 5-6, lines 63-4); also in column 2, lines 10 through 15, Paul further teaches the steps of a filtering system implemented at the servers or user terminals automatically receives the alert signal, automatically updates stored filtering data using the source data retrieved from the alert signal and automatically controls delivery of subsequently-received e-mail messages from the identified spam source. But, explicitly Paul does not indicate the step of automatically building and storing a relationship data structure to represent the relationship information. However, implicitly Paul indicates the step of the spam control center automatically analyzes the received mail to identify the source of the message extracts and processes the source data from the received message, and generates an alert signal containing the processed source data the alert signal may also contain filtering instructions used to enable network servers and user terminals to automatically detect spam this alert signal is broadcast to all network servers or all user terminals or both within the communications network a filtering system implemented at the servers or user terminals automatically receives the alert signal automatically updates stored filtering data using the source data retrieved from the alert

Application/Control Number: 09/282,860

Art Unit: 2172

signal and automatically controls delivery of subsequently-received e-mail messages from the identified spam source; which is readable as automatically building and storing a relationship data structure to represent the relationship information. (see col. 2, lines 2-15). Also, in columns 8 and 9, limes 55 through 67 and 1 through 17, Paul teaches the steps of the data in any of these fields of the incoming email matches data stored in a corresponding field of the inclusion list processor the incoming email is marked junk and marked with a first display code if no match is detected the email filter labels the email message as junk by marking the message with a second display, the email filter interacts with the email message store that processes the email and performs other known functions for multiplicity of email addresses or accounts, the exclusion list processor may store an exclusion list for each email address or alternatively an exclusion list for each group of email addresses organized by domain or other group. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Paul with the step of automatically building and storing a relationship data structure to represent the relationship information. This modification would allow the teachings of Paul to improve the accuracy and reliability of the optimization of system performance based on communication relationship, and provide the advantage of reducing the data traffic flow on a communications link by filtering out junk e-mail before it is stored at the server (col. 9, lines 28-30).

As per claim 2, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, wherein said step of modifying a query comprises the steps of prioritizing and filtering the retrieval of related information (thus, receiving email from specific sources or email messages including certain

Page 7

Art Unit: 2172

subject matter by adding source data and subject data to the filtering application exclusion lists, which is readable as wherein said step of modifying a query comprises the steps of prioritizing and filtering the retrieval of related information) (see col. 9, lines 50-53).

As per claims 3 and 4, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, wherein said step of modifying a query comprises the steps of augmenting information from the heterogeneous information sources (thus, receiving email from specific sources or email messages including certain subject matter by adding source data and subject data to the filtering application exclusion lists, which is readable as the steps of augmenting information from the heterogeneous information sources) (see col. 9, lines 50-53).

As per claim 5, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, wherein the heterogeneous information sources are selected from the group consisting of one or more of: people-managed data sources; organization charts; mailing lists; calendar entries; personal address books; priority lists of contacts; and automated system log type information including phone logs and e-mail logs (thus, method and system for controlling delivery of unsolicited electronic mail messages one or more spam probe email addresses are created and planted at various sites on the communications network in order to insure their inclusion on large scale electronic junk mail mailing lists; which is equivalent to wherein the heterogeneous information sources are selected from the group consisting of one or more of: people-managed data sources; organization charts; mailing lists; calendar entries; personal address books; priority lists of contacts) (see abstract, lines 1-20).

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 6, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the step of assigning different preferences to the heterogeneous information sources (thus, the filtering system email messages marked with the first display code are further processed by the filter using user preference data entered by the user, which is readable as assigning different preferences to the heterogeneous information sources) (see col. 7, lines 16-33).

As per claim 11, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the step of assigning a weight to each information source based on a preference (see col. 6, lines 28-33);

computing the aggregate communication intensity, based on the weight and the preference (thus, the filter application compares the subject data of the received email message with subject preference data entered by the user, which is readable as computing the aggregate communication intensity, based on the weight and the preference) (see col. 7, lines 15-33).

As per claim 23, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the step of resolving name ambiguity by using the relationship from the heterogeneous information sources to determine one or more of an e-mail address, phone number, and a full name (see col. 4, lines 22-34).

As per claim 24, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the step of recommending a communication channel based on a recipient characteristic (thus, processor may also extract and analyze data from other fields of the received email message including other header fields, which is readable as recommending a communication channel based on a recipient characteristic) (see col. 5, lines 10-20).

Art Unit: 2172

As per claims 26-29, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the step of detecting inconsistency among data in the heterogeneous information sources (thus, the control center includes a distributor for distributing a probe address to multiple sites on the communications network likely to be accessed by mailers of unsolicited electronic mail, which is readable as detecting inconsistency among data in the heterogeneous information sources) (see col. 2, lines 25-49).

As per claim 30, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the steps of: integrating the relationship information from the multiple heterogeneous sources using a graph wherein each node represents a communication entity, and a link between a pair of nodes represents the existence of a communication relationship between the two nodes (see figure 1, cols. 3 and 4, lines 59-67 and 1-34).

As per claim 31, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the step of labeling each link with a communication intensity vector, where each dimension of the communication intensity vector represents a communication intensity from an information source (thus, the processing performed by processor may include analysis of the source header data from the received email message in order to determine the address of the sender or address of the servers relaying the email message from the sender to the spam probe mailbox, and alert signal generator preferably then transmits the alert signal to each server either via an optional dedicated communication link or via communications network; which is readable as labeling each link with a

Application/Control Number: 09/282,860

Page 11

Art Unit: 2172

communication intensity vector, where each dimension of the communication intensity vector represents a communication intensity from an information source) (see col. 5, lines 10-32).

As per claim 33, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the step of obtaining relevant information from the heterogeneous information sources, said information selected from the group consisting of one or more of: phone numbers; e-mail addresses: mailing addresses; office location; department; or manager, from various information sources (thus, method and system for controlling delivery of unsolicited electronic mail messages one or more spam probe email addresses are created and planted at various sites on the communications network in order to insure their inclusion on large scale electronic junk mail mailing lists; which is equivalent to said information selected from the group consisting of one or more of phone numbers; e-mail addresses: mailing addresses; office location; department; or manager, from various information sources) (see abstract, lines 1-20).

As per claims 37 and 38, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the step of modifying the query to create one or more sub-queries (see col. 8, lines 37-41).

As per claim 39, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the step of excluding results from the sub-queries (thus, once the information contained in the received email message is identified and received by processor, processor analyzes this information using processing methods known in the art and extracts the source header data from the received email message; which is readable as excluding results from the sub-queries) (see col. 5, lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 41, Paul substantially teaches a method as claimed, further comprises the step of prioritizing and filtering a list of name to e-mail address mapping to facilitate sending e-mail (thus, the filtering system controls delivery of unsolicited email messages by discarding the messages without displaying them to the user the filtering system may also be used to filter email messages sent from the user terminals, which is readable as prioritizing and filtering a list of name to e-mail address mapping to facilitate sending e-mail) (see col. 2, lines 17-24).

Claim Objections

- 5. Claims 7-10, 12-22, 25, 32 and 34-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Breese et al. US Pat. No. 6,006,218 retrieving information as a function of a user's estimated knowledge. Heiner US Pat. No. 6,112,227 relates to methods used to reduce the amount of unwanted electronic mail received by a user of email service.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from examiner should be directed to Jean Bolte Fleurantin at (703) 308-6718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. KIM VU can be reached at (703) 305-8449. The FAX phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/282,860

Page 13

Art Unit: 2172

Group 2100 Customer Service Center are: After Final (703) 746-7238, Official (703) 746-7239, and Non-Official (703) 746-7240. NOTE: Documents transmitted by facsimile will be entered as official documents on the file wrapper unless clearly marked "DRAFT".

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2100 Customer Service Center receptionist whose telephone numbers are (703) 306-5631, (703) 306-5632, (703) 306-5633.

Jean Bolte Fleurantin

Br flancistis

May 30, 2002

JBF/

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

CARSOLLA CLINTER 2100